



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/677,805	10/02/2000	William Bedingham	55943 USA 1A	3624

32692 7590 05/06/2003

3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY
PO BOX 33427
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427

EXAMINER

GORDON, BRIAN R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1743

3

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Applicati n No.

09/677,805

Applicant(s)

BEDINGHAM ET AL.

Examiner

Brian R. Gordon

Art Unit

1743

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 October 2000.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-49 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-12, 14 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 6-8, 13 and 16-20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 02 October 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-5, 9-12, and 14-15, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sommervold US 4,236,894.

Sommervold discloses an automatic chemical testing apparatus in which radiant energy readings are taken from a liquid sample of reacted contents comprising reagent and one or more aliquots, one per channel, of a sample fluid, a statistically large number of readings are taken on each sample.

As shown in FIG. 1, samples are successively obtained from a sample source 1 (circular rotating multi chambered processing device) and delivered to reaction containers in a reaction loop 2 (plurality of fluid chambers opening in the upper surface of the platform in a rectilinear pattern) having incubation and reagent dispensing stations 3. Reagents are supplied thereto from reagent supply means 4.

Figure 2, shows the parts of the apparatus without being within the platform or retention means of the device. The spindle can be clearly seen connected to the motor 24. Its inherent that the spindle extends upward through opening in the upper surface of the retention means (case/housing).

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 1743

3. Claims 6-8, 13 and 16-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 21-49 are allowed.

5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record does not disclose nor fairly suggest a device comprising a plurality of stationary fluid chambers further comprising filter material, complimentary registration structure on the platform and the processing device, the complimentary registration structure aligning the at least one process chamber at the location defined by the rectilinear array of the stationary fluid chambers when the processing device is stationary, or a processing device that is captive within the retention structure on the platform. The prior art also fails to teach or fairly suggest a method of processing sample material, the method comprising: providing a platform comprising an upper surface and a lower surface, a plurality of stationary fluid chambers opening at the upper surface of the platform; providing a processing device in the retention structure proximate the upper surface of the platform, the processing device comprising a plurality of process chambers; providing sample material in a plurality of the plurality of process chambers on the processing device; delivering energy to the process chambers containing sample material to raise the temperature of the sample materials in the process chambers; and rotating the processing device about an axis of rotation within the retention structure while delivering energy, wherein the temperature of the sample materials in the processing chambers is controlled as the processing device rotates to

Art Unit: 1743

process the sample materials. The prior art also fails to teach or fairly suggest a system comprising a workspace comprising a processing station; at least one platform located within the workspace, each platform comprising an upper surface and a lower surface, a plurality of stationary fluid chambers opening at the upper surface of the platform, and retention structure occupying a portion of the upper surface of the platform; at least one processing device located within the workspace, each processing device comprising a plurality of process chambers, wherein rotation of the processing device within the retention structure on the platform moves the plurality of process chambers in a circular pattern; a spindle located at the processing station; and a transfer device operative within the workspace, the transfer device capable of transferring sample material from the processing station to another location within the workspace.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Brown, Bell, Devlin, Sr., Seto, LaCourt et al., Jakubowicz et al., Bach et al., Riggs, Ringrose et al., Schreiber et al., Healey et al., Guigan, Kelln et al., Lillig et al., Ginsberg et al., Sakurada, Bello et al., Drbal et al., and Oonuma et al. disclose fluid processing devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian R. Gordon whose telephone number is (703) 305-0399. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, with 2nd and 4th F off.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on 703-308-4037. The fax phone numbers for

Art Unit: 1743

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

brg
May 2, 2003


Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700